arXiv:1010.4879v1 [math.PR] 23 Oct 2010

SOME RESULTS ON RANDOM FIELDS ADMITTING A SPECTRAL REPRESENTATION WITH INFINITELY DIVISIBLE INTEGRATOR

WOLFGANG KARCHER

Abstract. We consider random fields $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ admitting a spectral representation

$$X(t) = \int_E f_t(x)\Lambda(dx), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for some set E, non-random functions $f_t : E \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and an infinitely divisible random measure Λ and prove some properties of X.

1. Preliminaries

We start with the definition of an infinitely divisible random measure, cf. [6], pp. 454. Let E be an arbitrary non-empty set and $\mathcal{D}$ be a δ-ring (i. e. a ring which is closed under countable intersections) of subsets of E such that there exists an increasing sequence $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}$ with $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E_n = E$. Recall that a ring of sets is a non-empty class of sets which is closed under the formation of unions and differences of sets, see e. g. [3], p. 19.

Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda(A) : A \in \mathcal{D}\}$ be a real stochastic process defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that for each sequence of disjoint sets $\{E_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}$, the following properties hold:

- Λ is *independently scattered*, i. e. the random variables $\Lambda(E_n), n = 1, 2, \ldots$, are independent,
- Λ is *σ-additive*, i. e. $\Lambda(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E_n) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Lambda(E_n)$ almost surely if $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E_n \in \mathcal{D}$,
- $\Lambda(A)$ is an *infinitely divisible* (**ID**) random variable for each $A \in \mathcal{D}$, i. e. $\Lambda(A)$ has the law of the sum of n independent and identically distributed random variables for any natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then Λ is called *infinitely divisible random measure*.

Let $\Psi_{\Lambda(A)}$ be the characteristic function of $\Lambda(A)$. Since $\Lambda(A)$ is **ID**, its characteristic function is given by the Lévy-Khintchine representation

$$\Psi_{\Lambda(A)}(t) = \exp\left\{it\nu_0(A) - \frac{1}{2}t^2\nu_1(A) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{itx} - 1 - it\tau(x)\right) F_A(dx)\right\}, \tag{1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary, 60G60; Secondary, 60E07.

Key words and phrases. Random field, infinitely divisible law.

where $\nu_0 : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a signed measure, $\nu_1 : \mathcal{D} \to [0,\infty)$ is a measure, $F_A : \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$ is a Lévy measure, i. e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \min(1, z^2) F_A(dz) < \infty$$

and

$$\tau(z) = \begin{cases} z, & |z| \le 1, \\ \frac{z}{|z|}, & |z| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Define the measure λ by

$$\lambda(A) := |\nu_0|(A) + \nu_1(A) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \min(1, z^2) F_A(dz), \quad A \in \mathcal{D}.$$

We call λ *control measure* of the **ID** random measure Λ.

Let $\sigma(\mathcal{D})$ be the σ-algebra generated by $\mathcal{D}$ and $I_A : E \to \{0,1\}$ the indicator function of a set $A \subset E$ with

$$I_A(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A, \\ 0, & x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

For disjoint sets $A_j \in \mathcal{D}$, real numbers x_j, $j = 1,\dots,n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and simple functions of the form $f = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j I_{A_j}$, we define for every $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})$

$$\int_A f d\Lambda := \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \Lambda(A \cap A_j).$$

Let $f_t : E \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, be a $\sigma(\mathcal{D})$-measurable function which is Λ-*integrable*, that is there exists a sequence of simple functions $\{\tilde{f}_t^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

1. $\tilde{f}_t^{(n)} \to f_t \quad \lambda - \text{a.e.}$,
2. for every set $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{D})$, the sequence $\{\int_A \tilde{f}_t^{(n)}(x)\Lambda(dx)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in probability as $n \to \infty$.

A family $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ of real-valued random variables $X(t)$ defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is called *random field*. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$\int_E f_t(x)\Lambda(dx) := \operatorname*{plim}_{n\to\infty} \int_E \tilde{f}_t^{(n)}(x)\Lambda(dx), \tag{2}$$

where *plim* means convergence in probability, and consider random fields of the form

$$X(t) = \int_E f_t(x)\Lambda(dx), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{3}$$

In [9], it is shown that (2) does not depend on the approximation sequence $\{\tilde{f}_t^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and thus is well-defined.

Notice that by Lemma 2.3. in [6], we have

$$F_A(B) = F(A \times B) \quad \text{and} \quad F(dx, ds) = \rho(x, ds)\lambda(dx),$$

where F is a σ-finite measure on $\sigma(\mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, $\rho : E \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \to [0, \infty]$ is a function such that $\rho(x, \cdot)$ is a Lévy measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $x \in E$ and $\rho(\cdot, B)$ is a Borel measurable function for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, ν_0 and ν_1 are absolutely continuous with respect to λ. We set $a := d\nu_0/d\lambda$ and $\sigma^2 := d\nu_1/d\lambda$.

Let us introduce a certain type of dependence structure, namely (positive or negative) association, which we will consider in the following section. Let $|I|$ denote the cardinality of a finite set $I \subset T$ and $X_I := \{X(t), t \in I\}$.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{M}(n)$ be the class of real-valued bounded coordinate-wise nondecreasing Borel functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and T be an index set.

(a) A family $\{X(t), t \in T\}$ is called associated (**A**) if for every finite set $I \subset T$ and any functions $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(|I|)$, one has

$$Cov(f(X_I), g(X_I)) \geq 0.$$

(b) A family $\{X(t), t \in T\}$ is called positively associated (**PA**) if for any disjoint finite sets $I, J \subset T$ and all functions $f \in \mathcal{M}(|I|)$, $g \in \mathcal{M}(|J|)$, one has

$$Cov(f(X_I), g(X_J)) \geq 0.$$

(c) A family $\{X(t), t \in T\}$ is called negatively associated (**NA**) if for any disjoint finite sets $I, J \subset T$ and all functions $f \in \mathcal{M}(|I|)$, $g \in \mathcal{M}(|J|)$, one has

$$Cov(f(X_I), g(X_J)) \leq 0.$$

In the above definition, any permutation of coordinates of the random vector $(X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))^\mathsf{T}$ is used for X_K, $K = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset T$.

Finally, we provide the definition of stochastic continuity.

Definition 2. A random field $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is called *stochastically continuous* at $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if $\underset{s \to t}{\text{plim}} X(s) = X(t)$.

2. Results

Result 1. *Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a random field of the form (3). Then X is **ID**, that is the law of the random vector $(X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))^\mathsf{T}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is an **ID** probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for all $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_{(t_1,\dots,t_n)}$ be the characteristic function of $(X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))^\mathsf{T}$. It is enough to show that $\varphi^\gamma_{(t_1,\dots,t_n)}$ is a characteristic function for all $\gamma > 0$.

Due to the linearity of the spectral representation (3) and the fact that any linear combination of Λ-integrable functions is Λ-integrable (cf. [5], p. 81), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{\gamma}_{(t_1,\ldots,t_n)}(x) &= \varphi_{\sum_{j=1}^n x_j X(t_j)}(1)\\ &= \exp\left\{\int_E \left[\sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_{t_j}(y)a(y) - \frac{1}{2}t^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_{t_j}(y)\right)^2 \sigma^2(y)\right.\right.\\ &\qquad \left.\left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(e^{it\sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_{t_j}(y)s} - 1 - it\sum_{j=1}^n x_j f_{t_j}(y)\tau(s)\right)\rho(y,ds)\right]\gamma\lambda(dy)\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 2.6. in [6]. Define $\nu_0^*:\mathcal{D}\to\mathbb{R}$, $\nu_1^*:\mathcal{D}\to[0,\infty)$, $F_A^*:\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\to[0,\infty)$ by $\nu_0^*(ds):=a(s)\gamma\lambda(ds)=\gamma\nu_0(ds)$, $\nu_1^*(ds):=\sigma^2(s)\gamma\lambda(ds)=\gamma\nu_1(ds)$ and

$$F_A^*(B) := \int_E\int_{\mathbb{R}} I_{A\times B}(s,x)\rho(s,dx)\gamma\lambda(ds) = \gamma\int_{A\times B} F(ds,dx) = \gamma F(A\times B) = \gamma F_A(B)$$

for all $A\in\mathcal{D}$ and $B\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, cf. Lemma 2.3. in [6]. Since ν_0^* is a signed measure, ν_1^* is a measure, F_A^* is a Lévy measure on $\mathbb{R}$ for all $A\in\mathcal{D}$ and $F_\cdot(B)$ is a measure for all $B\in\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ whenever $0\notin\bar{B}$, there exists an **ID** random measure Λ^* with characteristic function (1) (and control measure $\lambda^*=\gamma\lambda$), where ν_0, ν_1 and F_A in (1) is replaced by ν_0^*, ν_1^* and F_A^*, respectively, see Proposition 2.1. (b) in [6]. Therefore, $\varphi^{\gamma}_{(t_1,\ldots,t_n)}$ is the characteristic function of $(Y(t_1),\ldots,Y(t_n))^{\mathsf{T}}$ with

$$Y(t) := \int_E f_t(x)\Lambda^*(dx).$$

□

The following result provides conditions for Λ-integrability, cf. Lemma 1 in [4].

Result 2. *Let $f:E\to\mathbb{R}$ be a $\sigma(\mathcal{D})$-measurable function. If*

(i) $\int_E |f(x)a(x)|\lambda(dx)<\infty$,

(ii) $\int_E f^2(x)\sigma^2(x)\lambda(dx)<\infty$,

(iii) $\int_E\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)s|\rho(x,ds)\lambda(dx)<\infty$,

then f is Λ-integrable and the characteristic function of $\int_E f(x)\Lambda(dx)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi_{\int_E f(x)\Lambda(dx)}(t)\\ &= \exp\left\{it\int_E f(x)\nu_0(dx) - \frac{1}{2}t^2\int_E f^2(x)\nu_1(dx) + \int_E\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(e^{itf(x)s}-1-itf(x)\tau(s)\right)F(dx,ds)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.7 in [6], it suffices to show

(a) $\int_E |U(f(x),x)|\lambda(dx)<\infty$,

(b) $\int_E |V_0(f(x),x)|\lambda(dx)<\infty$, where

$$\begin{aligned} U(u,x) &= ua(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tau(su) - u\tau(s))\,\rho(x,ds) \\ V_0(u,x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \min\{1, |su|^2\}\rho(x,ds). \end{aligned}$$

We follow the proof of Lemma 1 in [4]. It holds $|\tau(su)| \leq |su|$. This implies

$$|U(f(x),x)| \leq |f(x)a(x)| + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|f(x)s| + |f(x)s|)\,\rho(x,ds) = |f(x)a(x)| + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)s|\rho(x,ds)$$

such that condition (a) is satisfied by (i) and (iii). Since $\min\{1,(sf(x))^2\} \leq |sf(x)|$, condition (b) is satisfied by (iii).

We now derive the formula for the characteristic function of $\int_E f(x)\Lambda(dx)$. By Proposition 2.6. in [6], it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_{\int_E f(x)\Lambda(dx)}(t) \\ &= \exp\left\{ it \int_E \left[f(x)a(x) - \frac{1}{2}t^2 f^2(x)\sigma^2(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{itf(x)s} - 1 - itf(x)\tau(s)\right)\rho(x,ds)\right]\lambda(dx)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\int_E |f(x)a(x)|\lambda(dx) < \infty$ and $\int_E f^2(x)\sigma^2(x)\lambda(dx) < \infty$ by (i) and (ii). It remains to show that

$$\int_E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{itf(x)s} - 1 - itf(x)\tau(s)\right)\rho(x,ds)\right| \lambda(dx) < \infty.$$

Let $y \neq 0$. By using the mean value theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{\sin(y) - \sin(0)}{y - 0}\right| &= |\sin(\xi_1)| \leq 1, \\ \left|\frac{\cos(y) - \cos(0)}{y - 0}\right| &= |\cos(\xi_2)| \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in [0,y]$ if $y > 0$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in [y,0]$ if $y < 0$. Therefore, we have for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{iy} - 1| &\leq |e^{iy} - e^{i0}| = |\cos(y) + i\sin(y) - \cos(0) - i\sin(0)| \\ &= \sqrt{(\cos(y) - \cos(0))^2 + (\sin(y) - \sin(0))^2} \leq \sqrt{y^2 + y^2} = \sqrt{2}|y|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{itf(x)s} - 1 - itf(x)\tau(s)\right)\rho(x,ds)\right| \lambda(dx) \\ \leq\ & \int_E \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left|e^{itf(x)s} - 1\right| + |tf(x)\tau(s)|\right)\rho(x,ds)\lambda(dx) \\ \leq\ & \int_E \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sqrt{2}|tf(x)s| + |tf(x)s|\right)\rho(x,ds)\lambda(dx) \\ \leq\ & t(\sqrt{2}+1)\int_E \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)s|\rho(x,ds)\lambda(dx) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (iii). □

We now provide a sufficient condition for the independence of two families of random variables taken from the random field (3). We denote the support of a function f by $supp(f)$.

Result 3. *Let X be a random field of the form (3). Let $K, L \subset T = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$, $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $K \cup L = T$, $K, L \neq \emptyset$ and $K \cap L = \emptyset$. If*

$$\left(\bigcup_{t_i \in K} supp(f_{t_i}) \right) \bigcap \left(\bigcup_{t_j \in L} supp(f_{t_j}) \right) = \emptyset, \tag{4}$$

then the families of random variables $\{X(t_i), t_i \in K\}$ and $\{X(t_j), t_j \in L\}$ are independent.

Proof. Let φ_K, φ_L and φ_T be the characteristic functions of a fixed permutation of the random vectors constructed from the families of random variables $\{X(t_i), t_i \in K\}$, $\{X(t_j), t_j \in L\}$ and $\{X(t), t \in T\}$. Furthermore, let $x_K \in \mathbb{R}^{|K|}$, $x_L \in \mathbb{R}^{|L|}$ and $x_T \in \mathbb{R}^{|T|}$ and define $K : \mathbb{R} \times E \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$K(t,s) := ita(s) - \frac{1}{2}t^2\sigma^2(s) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{itx} - 1 - it\tau(x) \right) \rho(s, dx).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_K(x_K) &= \varphi_{\sum\limits_{t_i \in K} x_{t_i} X(t_i)}(1) = \exp\left\{ \int_E K\left(\sum_{t_i \in K} x_{t_i} f_{t_i}(s), s \right) \lambda(ds) \right\}, \\
\varphi_L(x_L) &= \exp\left\{ \int_E K\left(\sum_{t_j \in L} x_{t_j} f_{t_j}(s), s \right) \lambda(ds) \right\}, \\
\varphi_T(x_T) &= \exp\left\{ \int_E K\left(\sum_{t \in T} x_t f_t(s), s \right) \lambda(ds) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

see Proposition 2.6. in [6]. By using condition (4), it is not difficult to check that for each $s \in \cup_{t_i \in K} supp(f_{t_i})$ and each $s \in \cup_{t_j \in L} supp(f_{t_j})$

$$K\left(\sum_{t_i \in K} x_{t_i} f_{t_i}(s), s \right) + K\left(\sum_{t_j \in L} x_{t_j} f_{t_j}(s), s \right) = K\left(\sum_{t \in T} x_t f_t(s), s \right). \tag{5}$$

Thus, (5) holds for all $s \in E$. This implies

$$\varphi_K(x_K)\varphi_L(x_L) = \varphi_T(x_T)$$

such that $\{X(t_i), t_i \in K\}$ and $\{X(t_j), t_j \in L\}$ are independent (cf. Theorem 4 in [7], p. 286, and its proof). $\square$

The following result provides a sufficient condition for a random field of the form (3) to be associated.

Result 4. *Suppose that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, either $f_t(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in E$ or $f_t(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in E$. Then (3) is an associated random field.*

Proof. Let f be Λ-integrable and non-negative. In the proof of Theorem 2.7. in [6], the corresponding approximating sequence $\{\tilde{f}^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for f is selected in the following way.

Let $A_n = \{x \in E : |f(x)| \leq n\} \cap E_n$. Choose a sequence of simple $\mathcal{D}$-measurable functions $\{\tilde{f}^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$f^{(n)}(x) = 0 \text{ if } x \notin A_n, \quad |f^{(n)}(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{ if } x \in A_n, \quad |f^{(n)}(x)| \leq |f(x)| \ \forall x \in E. \tag{6}$$

We now define a simple function $f_*^{(n)}$ by

$$f_*^{(n)}(x) := \begin{cases} f^{(n)}(x), & f_n(x) \geq 0, \\ 0, & f_n(x) < 0, \end{cases} \quad x \in E.$$

Since f is non-negative, it is easy to see that the sequence $\{f_*^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ fulfills the same properties (6) as $\{\tilde{f}^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. So $\{f_*^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an approximating sequence for f which is additionally non-negative. As $f_*^{(n)}$ is simple for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write

$$f_*^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(n)} x_j I_{B_j}$$

for some $m(n) \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_j \geq 0$ and disjoint $B_j \subset A_n$, $j = 1, \ldots, m(n)$.

Assume now that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $f_t(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in E$. Let $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\{f_{t_i}^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the approximating sequences of the kernel functions f_{t_i} in the spectral representation

$$X(t_i) = \int_E f_{t_i} \Lambda(dx).$$

Consider

$$X^{(n)}(t_i) = \int_E f_{t_i}^{(n)}(x)\Lambda(dx) = \int_E \sum_{j=1}^{m(n,i)} x_j^{(i)} I_{B_j^{(i)}}(x)\Lambda(dx) = \sum_{j=1}^{m(n,i)} x_j^{(i)} \Lambda(B_j^{(i)})$$

and, as we have just seen, we can assume without loss of generality that $x_j^{(i)} \geq 0$. By further decomposing $B_j^{(i)}$ if necessary, we can find a set of disjoint sets $\{B_j, j = 1, \ldots, m(n)\}$ for some $m(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ which does not depend on i such that

$$X^{(n)}(t_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{m(n)} x_j^{(i)} \Lambda(B_j), \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, r.$$

The sets B_j, $j = 1, \ldots, m(n)$, can be obtained by intersecting and subtracting the sets $B_j^{(i)}$ appropriately. This implies that $B_j \in \mathcal{D}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m(n)$, by using the properties of rings of sets.

We now show that the random vector $(X^{(n)}(t_1), \ldots, X^{(n)}(t_r))^\mathsf{T}$ is $\mathbf{A}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the set $I = \{t_1, \ldots, t_r\}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{M}(r)$ and $g \in \mathcal{M}(r)$. We write $X^{(n)}(I)$ for the random vector consisting of an arbitrary permutation of the corresponding elements $X^{(n)}(s)$, $s \in I$. Consider the functions $k, l : \mathbb{R}^{m(n)} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} k(y_1, \ldots, y_{m(n)}) &:= f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m(n)} x_j^{(1)} y_j, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{m(n)} x_j^{(r)} y_j\right), \quad (y_1, \ldots, y_{m(n)})^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m(n)}, \\ l(y_1, \ldots, y_{m(n)}) &:= g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m(n)} x_j^{(1)} y_j, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{m(n)} x_j^{(r)} y_j\right), \quad (y_1, \ldots, y_{m(n)})^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{m(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the coefficients $x_j^{(i)}$ are non-negative for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(r)$, $g \in \mathcal{M}(r)$, we conclude that $k, l \in \mathcal{M}(m(n))$.

By definition, $\Lambda(B_1), \ldots, \Lambda(B_{m(n)})$ are independent and therefore **A**, cf. Theorem 1.8 (c) in [2], p. 6. This implies

$$\operatorname{Cov}\left(f(X^{(n)}(I)), g(X^{(n)}(I))\right) = \operatorname{Cov}\left(k(\Lambda(B_1), \ldots, \Lambda(B_{m(n)})), l(\Lambda(B_1), \ldots, \Lambda(B_{m(n)}))\right) \geq 0$$

such that $(X^{(n)}(t_1), \ldots, X^{(n)}(t_r))^\mathsf{T}$ is associated.

For a vector $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^r$, set $\|x\|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^r |x_i|$. Since $X^{(n)}(t_i)$ converges in probability to $X(t_i)$ as $n \to \infty$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, we conclude that $\|(X^{(n)}(t_1), \ldots, X^{(n)}(t_r))^\mathsf{T}\|_1$ converges to $\|(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_r))^\mathsf{T}\|_1$ in probability due to Markov's inequality. This implies that $(X^{(n)}(t_1), \ldots, X^{(n)}(t_r))^\mathsf{T}$ converges in distribution to $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_r))^\mathsf{T}$, see [1], p. 18. Therefore, $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_r))^\mathsf{T}$ is **A**, see [2], p. 7. Thus X is an associated random field.

If for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the functions f_t are non-positive for all $x \in E$, the proof is completely analogous by considering the fact that in Definition 1 (a) one can use coordinate-wise non-increasing functions instead of coordinate-wise non-decreasing functions, see Remark 1.4. in [2], p. 4. In this case, the approximating sequences $\{f_{t_i}^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the kernel functions f_{t_i}, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, are chosen in such a way that $f_{t_i}^{(n)}$ is non-positive for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

The following result provides sufficient conditions such that a random field of the form (3) is stochastically continuous.

Result 5. *Assume that the following conditions for a random field X with spectral representation (3) hold. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

(a) *$f_s \to f_t$ λ-almost everywhere as $s \to t$,*

(b) *there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ and a Λ-integrable function g such that $|f_s - f_t| \leq g$ λ-almost everywhere and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\|s - t\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$,*

*where λ is the control measure of the **ID** random measure Λ. Then X is stochastically continuous.*

Proof. In [6], the discussion before Theorem 3.3. and Theorem 3.3. itself imply that there is a function $\Phi_0 : \mathbb{R} \times E \to [0, \infty)$ such that for a sequence of Λ-measurable functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we have the following implication:

$$\int_E \Phi_0(|f_n(x)|, x)\lambda(dx) \to 0, \quad n \to \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \operatorname*{plim}_{n \to \infty} \int_E f_n(x)\Lambda(dx) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Furthermore for every $x \in E$, $\Phi_0(\cdot, x)$ is a continuous non-decreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ with $\Phi_0(0, x) = 0$, see Lemma 3.1. in [6]. Since g in assumption (b) is Λ-integrable, we have

$$\int_E \Phi_0(|g(x)|, x)\lambda(dx) < \infty,$$

cf. the definition of the Musielak-Orlicz space $L_{\Phi_0}(E, \lambda)$ on p. 466 and again Theorem 3.3 in [6].

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. As $\Phi_0(\cdot, x)$ is non-decreasing, we get

$$\int_E \Phi_0(|f_s(x) - f_t(x)|, x)\lambda(dx) \leq \int_E \Phi_0(|g(x)|, x)\lambda(dx)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\|s - t\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ by assumption (b). Furthermore

$$\Phi_0(|f_s(x) - f_t(x)|, x) \to \Phi_0(0, x) = 0 \quad \lambda - a.e.$$

as $s \to t$ due to the continuity of $\Phi_0(\cdot, x)$ and assumption (a). Therefore, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem and get

$$\int_E \Phi_0(|f_s(x) - f_t(x)|, x)\lambda(dx) \to 0, \quad s \to t.$$

Then (7) implies

$$\operatorname*{plim}_{s \to t} \int_E (f_s(x) - f_t(x))\Lambda(dx) = \operatorname*{plim}_{s \to t} \left(\int_E f_s(x)\Lambda(dx) - \int_E f_t(x)\Lambda(dx) \right) = 0,$$

that is

$$\operatorname*{plim}_{s \to t} X(s) = \operatorname*{plim}_{s \to t} \int_E f_s(x)\Lambda(dx) = \int_E f_t(x)\Lambda(dx) = X(t).$$

□

If $\Lambda = M$ is an α-stable random measure (cf. [8], pp. 118), then

$$X(t) = \int_E f_t(x)M(dx), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is an α-stable random field since the random vector $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n))^\top$ is multivariate α-stable distributed for all $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, cf. Proposition 3.4.3 in [8], p. 125.

Recall that the characteristic function of a stable random vector $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)^\top$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is given by

$$\varphi_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = E\left(e^{i \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \boldsymbol{X}}\right) = \begin{cases} e^{-\int_{S_n} |\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \boldsymbol{s}|^\alpha (1 - i(\operatorname{sign} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \boldsymbol{s}) \tan \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} \Gamma(d\boldsymbol{s}) + i\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ e^{-\int_{S_n} |\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \boldsymbol{s}|(1 + i\frac{2}{\pi}(\operatorname{sign} \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \boldsymbol{s}) \ln |\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \boldsymbol{s}| \Gamma(d\boldsymbol{s}) + i\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}} & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where Γ is a finite measure on the unit sphere S_n of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

An α-stable random vector $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)^\top$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is **A** if and only if $\Gamma(S_-) = 0$, where $S_- := \{(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in S_n : s_i s_j < 0 \text{ for some } i, j\}$. It is **NA** if and only if $\Gamma(S_+) = 0$, where $S_+ := \{(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in S_n : s_i s_j > 0 \text{ for some } i, j\}$, see Theorem 4.6.1, p. 204, and Theorem 4.6.3, p. 208, in [8]. The following result yields the same sufficient condition for association as Result 4, but provides a more straightforward proof.

Result 6. *Suppose that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, either $f_t(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in E$ or $f_t(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in E$. Then*

$$X(t) = \int_E f_t(x) M(dx), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is an associated α-stable random field.

Proof. Consider the finite random vector $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n))^\mathsf{T}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and define the set E_+ and the function $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_n) : E_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} E_+ &:= \{x \in E : \sum_{k=1}^n f_{t_k}(x)^2 > 0\}, \\ g_j(x) &:= \frac{f_{t_j}(x)}{(\sum_{k=1}^n f_{t_k}(x)^2)^{1/2}}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any Borel set A in S_n, we have with $-A := \{-a : a \in A\}$

$$\Gamma(A) = \int_{g^{-1}(A)} \frac{1 + \beta(x)}{2} m_1(dx) + \int_{g^{-1}(-A)} \frac{1 - \beta(x)}{2} m_1(dx),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(dx) &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n f_{t_k}(x)^2 \right)^{\alpha/2} m(dx), \\ g^{-1}(A) &= \{x \in E_+ : (g_1(x), \ldots, g_n(x)) \in A\}, \end{aligned}$$

see [8], pp. 115. Since $g_i(x)g_j(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in E_+$ and $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we get $g^{-1}(S_-) = \emptyset$ and $g^{-1}(-S_-) = \emptyset$ and therefore $\Gamma(S_-) = 0$. Thus, X is **A**. ☐

Result 7. *Let M be an α-stable random measure with control measure m and let f be M-integrable. If $\int_E |f(x)|^\alpha m(dx) = 0$, then $\int_E f(x) M(dx) = 0$ almost surely.*

Proof. Let $g \in F$, where F is the set of all M-integrable functions. Since α-stable integrals are linear (see [8], p. 125), we have

$$\int_E 0 M(dx) = \int_E 0 g(x) M(dx) = 0 \int_E g(x) M(dx) = 0 \quad a.s.$$

Notice also that for the null function $h : E \to \mathbb{R}$ with $h(x) := 0$ for all $x \in E$, we have $h \in F$ since F is a linear space (see [8], p. 122). The assumption $\int_E |f(x)|^\alpha m(dx) = 0$ implies that $f = 0$ m-almost everywhere. We can therefore use $\{f^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $f^{(n)} = h$ as an approximating sequence for f which has the properties (3.4.7) and (3.4.8) in [8], p. 122. We have

$$\operatorname*{plim}_{n \to \infty} \int_E f^{(n)}(x) M(dx) = \operatorname*{plim}_{n \to \infty} 0 = 0,$$

but also

$$\operatorname*{plim}_{n \to \infty} \int_E f^{(n)}(x) M(dx) = \int_E f(x) M(dx),$$

see [8], p. 124. Since convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution and the corresponding limit distribution is unique (see [1], p. 11 and p. 18), the result is proven. ☐

References

[1] Billingsley, P., Convergence of Probability Measures, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1968)

[2] Bulinski, A. and Shashkin, A., Limit Theorems for Associated Random Fields and Related Systems, World Scientific Publishing, New Jersey (2007)

[3] Halmos, P. R., Measure Theory, Springer, New York (1974)

[4] Hellmund, G., Prokešová, M. and Vedel Jensen, E. B., Lévy-based Cox point processes, *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* 40(3): 603-629 (2008)

[5] Janicki, A. and Weron, A., Simulation and chaotic behavior of α-stable stochastic processes, Marcel Dekker, New York (1994)

[6] Rajput, B. S. and Rosinski, J., Spectral Representations of Infinitely Divisible Processes, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* 82: 451-487 (1989)

[7] Shiryaev, A. N., Probability, 2nd ed., Springer, New York (1996)

[8] Samorodnitsky, G. and Taqqu, M. S., Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes, Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton (1994)

[9] Urbanik, K. and Woyczynski, W. A., Random integrals and Orlicz spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* 15: 161-169 (1967)

E-mail address: `wolfgang.karcher@uni-ulm.de`

INSTITUTE OF STOCHASTICS, ULM UNIVERSITY, HELMHOLTZSTR. 18, 89069 ULM, GERMANY